

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

| | | |
|-------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, |) | |
| |) | |
| Petitioner, |) | 1:05-CV-258 REC DLB |
| |) | |
| vs. |) | ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE: |
| |) | CONTEMPT |
| JEAN ANNETTE LIASCOS, |) | |
| |) | |
| Respondent. |) | |
| |) | |
| <hr/> | | |
| UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, |) | |
| |) | |
| Petitioner, |) | 1:05-CV-285 REC DLB |
| |) | |
| vs. |) | |
| |) | |
| LORNE McCAN, |) | |
| |) | |
| Respondent. |) | |
| |) | |
| <hr/> | | |
| UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, |) | |
| |) | |
| Petitioner, |) | 1:05-CV-290 REC DLB |
| |) | |
| vs. |) | |
| |) | |
| V. STEVEN BOOTH and LOUISE Q. |) | |
| BOOTH, |) | |
| |) | |
| Respondents. |) | |
| |) | |
| <hr/> | | |

1 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
2)
3 Petitioner,) 1:05-CV-302 REC DLB
4)
5 vs.)
6)
7 JOHN INNIS, JR.,)
8)
9 Respondent,)
10)
11)
12)
13)
14)
15)
16)
17)
18)
19)
20)
21)
22)
23)
24)
25)
26)

8 Upon the petition of McGregor W. Scott, United States
9 Attorney for the Eastern District of California, and the exhibits
10 thereto,

11 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that respondents JEAN ANNETTE LIASCOS,
12 LORNE McCAN, V. STEVEN BOOTH, LOUISE Q. BOOTH and JOHN INNIS,
13 JR., appear before United States District Judge Robert E. Coyle
14 in Courtroom 1 of the United States Courthouse, 1130 "O" Street,
15 Fresno, California, on August 22, 2005, at 1:30 p.m. and that
16 respondents show cause as follows:

17 1. Why the respondents, JEAN ANNETTE LIASCOS, LORNE McCAN,
18 V. STEVEN BOOTH, LOUISE Q. BOOTH and JOHN INNIS, JR., should not
19 be held in civil contempt of this Court for their failure to
20 comply with the "Orders Deeming Respondent's 'Notice of Appeal of
21 Magistrate's Findings [sic] and Recommendations [sic]' to be
22 Objections to Findings and Recommendation; Enforcing IRS Summons
23 and Directing Respondent to Appear on Friday, June 17, 2005 at
24 [times certain] in Courtroom 5" ("Summons Enforcement Orders").

25 2. Why the respondents should not be incarcerated and
26 ordered to pay a daily fine until they comply with the Summons

1 Enforcement Orders, and ordered to pay a compensatory fine to the
2 United States.

3 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that on or before Monday, August 8,
4 2005 the respondents shall file and serve a written response to
5 the "Petition Re: Civil Contempt of Orders filed May 23-25,
6 2005." Only those issues brought into controversy by the
7 responsive papers and supported by declaration will be considered
8 at the hearing on this Order, and any uncontested allegation in
9 the Petition Re: Civil Contempt will be considered admitted.

10 Respondents are hereby notified that a failure to comply
11 with this Order will subject respondents to possible further
12 sanctions for contempt of Court.

13 The Clerk shall forward copies of this Order to the
14 Magistrate Judge, the respondents and the United States Attorney.

15 IT IS SO ORDERED.

16 IT IS SO ORDERED.

17 **Dated: July 15, 2005**
668554

/s/ Robert E. Coyle
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE